

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

CONFIRMATION NO. FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE 03/23/2004 Jonathan J. Langberg MITRAL.001C3 2408 10/806,906 **EXAMINER** 30452 7590 12/04/2006 SCHILLINGER, ANN M EDWARDS LIFESCIENCES CORPORATION LEGAL DEPARTMENT ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER ONE EDWARDS WAY IRVINE, CA 92614 3738

DATE MAILED: 12/04/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

,			NT.
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	•
Office Action Summary	10/806,906	LANGBERG ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Ann Schillinger	3738	
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet w	vith the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING E  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailine earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUN 136(a). In no event, however, may a will apply and will expire SIX (6) MC le, cause the application to become a	IICATION.  The reply be timely filed  ONTHS from the mailing date of this communication  ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 23 /	March 2004.		
· <u> </u>	s action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allows	•	• •	3
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) 1-37 is/are pending in the application	n.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	awn from consideration.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6) Claim(s) 1-37 is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	or election requirement		
are subject to restriction and	or ciconorrequirement.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin			
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>23 March 2004</u> is/are:	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	•	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the			15
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	, ,	• , , ,	1).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea	its have been received. Its have been received in Ority documents have bee	Application No	
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	t of the certified copies no	t received.	
Attachment(s)			
<ol> <li>Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)</li> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)</li> <li>Paper No(s)/Mail Date 8/24/04, 4/18/05.</li> </ol>	Paper No	Summary (PTO-413) (s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application	

Application/Control Number: 10/806,906

Art Unit: 3738

## DETAILED ACTION

## Claim Objections

Claims 2-18 and 20-37 are objected to because of the following informalities: they are dependent claims that are indicated with an indefinite article. "A method..." should be replaced with "The method...". Appropriate correction is required.

## Double Patenting

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. A nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting rejection is appropriate where the conflicting claims are not identical, but at least one examined application claim is not patentably distinct from the reference claim(s) because the examined application claim is either anticipated by, or would have been obvious over, the reference claim(s). See, e.g., *In re Berg*, 140 F.3d 1428, 46 USPQ2d 1226 (Fed. Cir. 1998); *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) or 1.321(d) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent either is shown to be commonly owned with this application, or claims an invention made as a result of activities undertaken within the scope of a joint research agreement.

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 1-37 are rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1, 26, 6, 7, 8, 21, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 21, 25, 26, 27, 28, 21, 29, 30, 31, 32, 33, 34, 35, 36, 37, 38, 39, 40, and 41, respectively of prior U.S. Patent No. 6,709,456. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because both sets of claims read on the same subject matter.

Application/Control Number: 10/806,906

Art Unit: 3738

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ann Schillinger whose telephone number is (571) 272-6652. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon. thru Fri. 9 a.m. to 4 p.m..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Corrine McDermott can be reached on (571) 272-4754. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Ann Schillinger November 8, 2006 ALVIN J. STEWART PRIMARY EXAMINER

Page 3